

# Payment Integrity Findings Report Kentucky Employees' Health Plan Second Quarter 2024 July 31, 2024

#### Submitted by:

HealthPlan Data Solutions, Inc 444 N. Front Street, Suite 101 Columbus, OH 43215

#### **HDS Contact:**

Julianne Greenwalt
Julianne.Greenwalt@HDS-rx.com

# **Table of Contents**

Preface	3
Background:	3
Objectives:	3
Scope:	4
Findings Summary	5
Compliance Summary	7
Appendix-Findings Detail	9
Exceeding 304.17A-148 Coverage for Diabetes	9
Cap on cost-sharing requirements for Insulin	9
Usual and Customary Pricing Check	10
Specialty Network Pricing Accuracy and List Prices	11
340B Pharmacy Identification and Exclusion	12
Multisource Brand Claims Rebill	14
Compound Claims Level of Effort	15
Service Level Agreement Penalties	16

# **Preface**

# **Background:**

HealthPlan Data Solutions (HDS) has been contracted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Employee Insurance (KEHP) for pharmacy benefit claims monitoring. HDS will analyze one hundred percent of pharmacy invoices or claims submitted for payment to KEHP by a KEHP Contracted Entity. This report is for the first quarter 2024 and will be followed by similar reports in each subsequent quarter.

HDS is a pharmacy payment intelligence software solutions company that leverages leadingedge technologies and industry expertise to identify opportunities in contract compliance, plan design, regulatory requirements, and pricing performance. Our emphasis on data-driven accuracy supports better outcomes for payers, Pharmacy Benefit Managers, and the members they serve.

### **Objectives:**

The objectives of this report outlined in the Personal Service Contract for Pharmacy Benefit Claims include the following:

- Summary of analysis conducted
- Statement of Contracted Entity's compliance
- Statement of errors identified
- · Statement of resolutions of errors identified
- Savings realized by KEHP

# Scope:

HDS has initiated the analysis of 100% of invoices and net paid claims administered by a KEHP Contracted Entity between the dates of January 1, 2023, and June 31, 2024. Below is a breakdown of the claim count, ingredient cost, and dispensing fee totals by quarter:

Quarter – Plan Year	Claim Count	Ingredient Cost	Dispensing Fee	
Q1 2023	1,055,251	\$188,599,886	\$117,718	
Q2 2023	1,026,287	\$210,942,303	\$109,681	
Q3 2023	1,036,153	\$216,983,063	\$126,848	
Q4 2023	1,139,323	\$225,453,216	\$147,581	
Q1 2024	1,071,987	\$219,495,096	\$133,001	
Q2 2024	1,057,730	\$238,916,756	\$129,469	
Total	6,386,731	\$1,300,390,320	\$764,298	

HDS will conduct a "Near Real Time" analysis of 100% of invoices and net paid claims administered by a KEHP Contracted Entity as new invoices and net paid claims files are provided.

HDS will complete the following tasks as a part of this ongoing analysis:

- Identify and correct errors in pharmacy benefit claims to avoid or reduce erroneous overpayments by KEHP through KEHP Contracted Entities.
- Identify underpayments made by the KEHP Contracted Entities.
- Identify inappropriate or erroneous fees imposed by a KEHP Contracted Entity.

HDS has taken the following factors into consideration throughout the analysis:

- Compliance with all state and federal laws relating to or applicable to KEHP.
- Compliance with any contract between the Personnel Cabinet and KEHP Contracted Entities.
- The market competitiveness of pharmacy benefit payments including the adequacy of payments to pharmacies.

# **Findings Summary**

Quarter Identified	Quarter Resolved	Description	Discrepancy Amount/Resolved
Q1	Q1 '23	Insulin Cost Sharing Cap	\$16,813
Q1	Q4 '23	Claims Paid at an Amount Greater Than Pharmacy Usual and Customary Price	\$944,391
Q1	Q1 '23	Specialty Fee Schedule Pricing	\$63,374
Q1	Q2 '23	340B Claims Categorization	Resolved
Q2	Q3 '23	Multisource Brand Claims Rebill	\$73,248
Q2	Q4 '23	Compound Claims Level of Effort	N/A
Q3	Q1 '24	Service Level Agreement Penalty	\$67,500

#### Analysis:

HDS reviewed the Contract for Pharmacy Benefits Administration for the Kentucky Employee's Health Plan, amendments and plan requirements documents to activate the appropriate HDS proprietary Claim Scans. These scans were supported by the KEHP Contracted Entity provided pharmacy network lists, drug lists, prior authorization files, and formulary documents.

#### Findings:

- Insulin Cost Sharing Cap The KEHP contracted entity divided this finding into two
  service warranties. The first of which has been paid of KEHP in the amount of
  \$7,476.37. This amount has been credited to the members. The remaining claims were
  part of a second service warranty that was also resolved.
- Claims paid at an amount greater than pharmacy usual and customary price Contracted Entity has executed a service warranty and impacted claims were
  appropriately identified and re-adjudicated. The KEHP Contracted Entity has not
  provided documentation to support any claim paying at a price greater than usual and
  customary. The KEHP Contracted Entity has done a root-cause-analysis and

- determined the error occurred with plan set-up beginning 01/01/2022 and this was confirmed by HDS. KEHP Contracted Entity issued a service warranty that refunded members \$64,957.98, and KEHP \$944,390.88, totaling \$1,009,348.86.
- Specialty Fee Schedule Pricing Error has been agreed to for the amount above. A
  service warranty has been paid to KEHP for this finding.
- 340B claims Will be categorized according to the KEHP contractual definition by
  utilizing a new pharmacy claims file format provided by the KEHP contracted entity. HDS
  will submit claims samples for review. HDS and KEHP contracted entity agreed upon a
  format to monitor these claims and HDS has determined that KEHP contracted entity is
  following the definition of 340b claim when excluding claims.
- Multisource Brand Claims Rebill- HDS has worked with KEHP contracted entity to
  determine the net effect of the rebill on KEHP. KEHP has issued a service warranty for
  the difference charged to KEHP and the members. KEHP contracted entity has
  provided sufficient documentation for HDS to validate these claims.
- Compound Claims Level of Effort HDS requested a detailed compound data file from the KEHP Contracted Entity in May 2023 to assess the issues with the Level of Effort charges. HDS has reviewed the file and determined that a large number of compound claims stem from one pharmacy. KEHP Contracted Entity performed a desk audit of this pharmacy to ensure the validity of these claims. KEHP Contracted Entity determined these claims were billed correctly and did not require adjustment. HDS is working with KEHP to implement a cap on compound price without requiring a prior approval. This will be implemented in 2024.
- Service Level Agreement Penalties HDS identified that claims paid at an amount greater than pharmacy usual and customary price from 01/01/2022 07/31/2023. HDS calculated that the error led to a claims processing accuracy rate for 2022 and each of the three quarters for 2023 that fell below the agreement for the KEHP Contracted Entity to process at a rate greater than 99.95%. HDS has requested that the KEHP Contracted Entity resolve this issue by paying the penalty. KEHP Contracted Entity did an additional audit for the time period and agreed to a payment of \$67,500.

# **Compliance Summary**

HDS analyzed 100% of claims adjudicated during the second quarter of 2024 to ensure that they met all regulatory and contractual obligations of the KEHP Contracted Entity. The categories and specific areas of analysis are listed in the table below. HDS has found that the KEHP Contracted Entity has met all regulatory and contractual obligations during the second quarter of 2024.

- ClaimScan categories and scan examples
  - Contract Adherence- running 44 scans
    - Specialty List Discounts
    - MAC Pricing Validation
    - Brand/Generic Definition Application
  - o Plan Design- running 40 scans
    - Non-Formulary Scan
    - Out-of-Network Scan
    - Coordination-of-Benefits Applications
  - Price Management- running 18 scans
    - Identifying Compounds with High Level of Effort
    - Non-Competitive Over-the-Counter pricing
    - Repackaged Drug Scan
  - Regulatory- running 16 scans
    - ACA Drug List Copay Compliance
    - Subrogation Claim Validation
    - Rx Filled After Expiration Date
  - Treatment Protocols- running 4 scans
    - Package Size Check
    - FDA Daily Dose Limit
    - FDA Specialty Daily Dose Limit
    - Shingrix 3<sup>rd</sup> Dose

The compliance check also ensures that the corrective action taken to fix previously identified errors is still performing as intended. These corrective actions have led to KEHP realizing the cost avoidance listed in the table below:

Previously Identified Error	Cost Avoidance Q2 2024	Cost Avoidance 2024 YTD
Insulin Cost Sharing Cap	\$16,813	\$33,626
Claims Paid at an Amount Greater	\$141,659	\$283,318
Than Pharmacy Usual and		
Customary Price		
Specialty Fee Schedule Pricing	\$63,374	\$126,748
TOTAL	\$221,846	\$443,692

Note: HDS also checked 340B Claims Categorization, Multisource Brand Claims, and Compound Claims Level of Effort to ensure that claims are being adjudicated correctly. These issues have been fixed but do are calculated into cost avoidance.

# **Appendix - Findings Detail**

# Exceeding 304.17A-148 Coverage for Diabetes Cap on cost-sharing requirements for Insulin

#### Background:

Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Insurance Bulletin 2021-002 states that House Bill 95 "amends KRS 304.17A-148 to limit the cost-sharing for a covered prescription insurance drug to \$30 per 30 day supply regardless of the amount or type of insulin needed to meet the covered person's insulin needs ...For purposes of this statute, "cost-sharing" has the same meaning as in KRS 304.17A-164, which includes the cost to an individual insured under a health benefit plan according to any coverage limit, copayment, coinsurance, deductible, or other out-of-pocket expense requirements imposed by the plan ... The provisions of this Act apply to the state employee health plan, but do not apply to other governmental self-insured plans."

#### Analysis:

HDS reviewed the claims files provided to identify any claims filled with insulin (Medispan GPI starting with 2710) where the patient pay amount exceeded \$30 per thirty days filled per prescription.

#### Findings:

HDS identified 704 claims filled through Q2 2023 which adjudicated at a total of \$16,813 greater than cost-sharing limit in House Bill 95.

#### Resolution and Status:

The KEHP contracted entity divided this finding into two service warranties. The first of which has been paid to KEHP in the amount of \$7,476.37. This amount has been credited to the members. The remaining claims were part of a second service warranty that was also resolved.

# **Usual and Customary Pricing Check**

#### **Background:**

The following definition for Usual and Customary or U&C appears in the 2021 Renewal Agreement

Between KEHP Contracted Entity and The Commonwealth of Kentucky Article 1 (Definitions) of Exhibit 1:

"Usual and Customary or U&C. The lowest price a Participating Pharmacy would charge to a particular customer if such customer were paying cash for filling an identical prescription on that particular day at that particular location, as submitted by the Participating Pharmacy. This price must include any applicable dispensing fee and/or level of effort and must include any applicable discounts offered to attract customers."

The "Usual and Customary" is the self-reported price a pharmacy charges for a given prescription. This price is the amount typically charged to cash paying patients. Schedule C Pricing Exhibit 1 (iv) of the PBM master agreement states "Plan shall pay the lower of the Participating Pharmacy's U&C price or the discounted price plus dispensing fee." Since the "Usual and Customary" is the maximum price a pharmacy would charge for a prescription, all claims must adjudicate at a price equal to or below the U&C price.

#### Analysis:

HDS reviewed the data files provided to identify claims paid at a cost that was higher than Usual & Customary:

Ingredient Cost + Dispensing Fee > Usual and Customary

#### Findings:

HDS identified 45,776 claims filled from 01/01/22 through 07/31/23 which adjudicated at a total of \$944,390.88 greater than Usual & Customary price.

#### Resolution and Status:

Contracted Entity has executed a service warranty and impacted claims were appropriately identified and re-adjudicated. The KEHP Contracted Entity has not provided documentation to support any claim paying at a price greater than usual and customary. The KEHP Contracted Entity has done a root-cause-analysis and determined the error occurred with plan set-up beginning 01/01/2022 and this was confirmed by HDS. KEHP Contracted Entity issued a service warranty that refunded members \$64,957.98, and KEHP \$944,390.88, totaling \$1,009,348.86.

# **Specialty Network Pricing Accuracy and List Prices**

#### **Background:**

The Client Requirements Document (CRD) between the KEHP Contracted Entity and KEHP forms an Extended Exclusive Specialty Network (EESN) applicable to claims for medications that are part of the specialty list and filled at pharmacies in this network. In the MSA, a specialty drug is defined as certain pharmaceuticals, biotech or biological drugs that are Covered Drugs and that are used by Caremark in the management of chronic or genetic disease, including but not limited to injectable, infused or oral medications, or products that otherwise require special handling, including without limitation those listed in Attachment A of Exhibit 1. The specialty lists included in Exhibit 2 from the 2023 Amendment to the MSA contain the guaranteed discount off AWP applicable to claims for these medications. Claims paid outside of the EESN are included in the calculation of the traditional retail pricing terms guarantee. Limited Distribution Drugs (LDD) and New to Market (NTM) drugs are excluded from the overall effective discount for the EESN and are included in the retail guarantee instead.

#### Analysis:

HDS was provided with LDD and NTM lists. HDS was also provided with the list of pharmacies that make up the EESN. HDS compared the discounts from the specialty drug list from the MSA and Exhibit 2 of the 2023 amendment to the provided claims file. HDS then calculated the discount achieved on the claim using the following formula: 1 – Ingredient Cost/(AWP Unit Price \* Quantity). HDS identified claims in which the discount achieved was less than the listed discount on EESN specialty claims.

#### Findings:

HDS identified 23 claims filled at specialty pharmacies within the EESN in which the achieved discount was less than the contractually listed discount. Below is a table of the findings.

	Claim	List	Discount	Ingredient Cost
Drug	Count	Discount	Achieved	Discrepancy
Chorionic Gonadotropin 10000	1	16.50%	0.00%	\$309
Glatiramer Prefilled Syringe				
40mg/ml	14	80.00%	37.00%	\$64,929
Lynparza 100mg	1	16.50%	0.00%	\$3,146
Lynparza 150mg	7	16.50%	0.00%	\$22,019
Total	23			\$90,402

#### Resolution and Status:

The KEHP Contracted Entity has issued a service warranty and paid KEHP for the discrepancy, with \$63,374.76 paid to the Plan as claims adjustments, and \$26,216.52 in copay adjustments to members.

# 340B Pharmacy Identification and Exclusion

#### Background:

In the master services agreement (MSA) between the KEHP Contracted Entity and KEHP an algorithm outlines the methodology for identifying 340B claims. This algorithm is used to identify 340B claims for the purpose of excluding those claims from pricing performance and dispensing fee guarantees. 340B claims are defined by the following algorithm in the PBM contract: "340B Claim" means a Claim identified by the submission of "20" in any of the Submission clarification Code fields and/or a Claim submitted by pharmacy owned by a covered entity, as defined in Section 340B(a)(4) of the Public Health Services Act, whose 340B status is coded as "38" or "39" in the NCPDP DataQ database.

Furthermore, 340B claims are excluded from pricing performance in the 2014 MSA and that exclusion is carried forward in subsequent amendments between the two parties. Therefore, proper identification of these claims is necessary for accurate pricing terms, performance calculations and reporting.

#### Analysis:

HDS was provided claims from January 1, 2023, through March 31, 2023. HDS used the NCPDP DataQ database to compare the pharmacy National Provider Identification (NPI) reported in the claims file to the NCPDP DataQ 340B status code. HDS was also provided with a quarterly file that included claims identified by the KEHP Contracted Entity as 340B. HDS used the Claim Number and Claim Sequence Number from this file to identify the claims in the provided claims file. HDS then checked the identified claims against the DataQ 340B status code to determine if all claims identified as 340B met the algorithm in the MSA.

#### Findings:

For Q1 2023 (01/01/23-03/31/23), the KEHP Contracted Entity identified 38,615 claims as 340B. HDS was able to match 38,609 claims, totaling \$5,744,809.57 in spend by KEHP. The table below contains a breakdown of the 340B status indicator from DataQ and the number of claims and spend associated with each in the 340B claims provided file. There were no claims present in the claims file provided by the KEHP Contracted Entity with a Submission Clarification Code of '20'.

340B Status Code	340B Status Indicator	Claim Count	Sum of KEHP Pay
36	N	45	\$30,286
37	Υ	466	\$82,488
38	Υ	34,704	\$5,454,648
39	Υ	3,216	\$167,432
No Match	No Match	178	\$9,955
Grand Total		38,609	\$5,744,810

## Resolution and Status:

HDS and KEHP Contracted Entity have agreed upon a new pharmacy claims file format containing the information necessary to validate these claims and HDS will continue to monitor for accuracy moving forward. HDS has validated that KEHP Contracted Entity is correctly identifying 340b claims per the contractual definition.

# **Multisource Brand Claims Rebill**

#### **Background**

In the master services agreement between the KEHP Contracted Entity and KEHP, amended in 2023, KEHP's member benefit changed to a two-tier copay structure, without a product selection penalty. Each claim is assigned a transaction id and sequence number, and each time the claim is adjusted or rebilled a new sequence number is assigned to denote the change in the claim status.

#### **Analysis**

HDS compared the Claim Number and Claim Sequence Number of the final reported claim to each of the Claim Sequence Numbers prior to the final reported claim. Specifically, HDS analyzed for differences in net amount due and patient pay amount. HDS calculated the difference in net amount due and patient pay and reported claims where the net amount due or patient pay amount (or both) increased compared to original billing.

#### **Findings**

For the billing cycle ending in 05/08/2023, HDS identified 468 claims in which there was a change in net amount due or patient pay resulting in a net increase in client pay of \$73,248 and net decrease in patient pay of \$3,857. These claims appear to have originally been paid at the generic price and when readjudicated, paid at the brand price. HDS will determine if these claims were submitted for rebates and if rebates were passed on to KEHP.

#### Resolution and Status

HDS was able to validate that KEHP was credited for the difference in a service warranty 5/3/2023. HDS has received confirmation that these claims were submitted for rebate and paid correctly.

# **Compound Claims Level of Effort**

#### **Background**

In the pharmacy manual provided by the KEHP Contracted Entity to network pharmacies there is a policy for proper billing of compounds and a stratified payment based on the submitted Level of Effort (LOE) for compound claims. Appropriate LOE billing ensures proper payment of compounds, while avoiding waste. The claims file currently provided to HDS contains limited information on compound claims. HDS requested a compound detail file, containing all the compound ingredients listed, to determine if the proper LOE was submitted by the pharmacy.

#### **Analysis**

HDS analyzed compound detail file provided by KEHP Contracted Entity from 01.01.23 through 05.31.23. HDS determined that there was one pharmacy submitting the majority of the compound claims with a higher level of effort paid.

#### **Findings**

HDS identified 1,052 compound claims filled during the time measured. The majority of these claims are for multiple ingredient creams made from bulk powders. This resulted in \$117,873.74 in spend on compound claims.

#### Resolution and Status

KEHP Contracted Entity performed a desk audit of these claims and determined no further action was needed. KEHP will implement a \$50 compound cap starting Jan 2024. This cap should reduce the spending on compounds in 2024 for KEHP.

# **Service Level Agreement Penalties**

#### **Background**

In the master agreement between KEHP and KEHP Contracted Entity effective Jan 1, 2015 Schedule D contains performance guarantees (PG). Each PG is allotted a percentage of the \$1,500,000 at risk to meet these guarantees. One of the PGs is Claims Processing Accuracy (retail, mail, and specialty). This PG states: Based on internal quality review, KEHP contracted entity guarantees that claims processing accuracy shall be 99.95% or greater, calculated as all claims audited and found to be without adjudication of any kind (i.e. any claim processing inaccuracy that results in an incorrect charge to the Commonwealth or its plan members) and divided by all claims audited. This is guaranteed at 3% risk annually (\$45,000/year).

#### <u>Analysis</u>

HDS calculated the claims processing accuracy rate for 2022 and each of the three quarters for 2023, utilizing the calculation in the contract with KEHP Contracted Entity. The total number of agreed discrepancy claims were added together and divided by the number of claims for that quarter. HDS used the number of claims for that quarter because 100% of claims were evaluated during the quarter.

#### Findings

Year	Quarter	Claim Count	Claim Error: Specialty Pricing	Claim Error: Insulin Copay Cap	Claim Error: Usual and Customary Not used	Claim Error: Total	Claim Accuracy Percentage	Missed PG
2022	Q1	1,019,213			4,338	4,338	99.57%	Yes
2022	Q2	1,026,093			5,983	5,983	99.42%	Yes
2022	Q3	1,041,218			6,815	6,815	99.35%	Yes
2022	Q4	1,141,268			7,359	7,359	99.36%	Yes
2022	Total	4,227,792			24,495	24,495	99.42%	N/A
2023	Q1	1,036,153	23	436	9,678	10,137	99.02%	Yes
2023	Q2	1,026,287		268	8,104	8,372	99.18%	Yes
2023	Q3	1,055,251			3,052	3,052	99.71%	Yes
2023	Total	3,117,691	23	704	20,834	21,561	99.31%	N/A

#### Resolution and Status

HDS shared findings with the KEHP Contracted Entity for failure to meet Performance Guarantees in the contract for claims accuracy for all quarters measured. KEHP Contract Entity agreed to a Payment of \$33,750 for each plan year it identified an issue based on their internal audit. The total amount the KEHP contracted entity agreed pay KEHP is \$67,500. The amount is less than HDS' calculated amount based on the smaller audited sample size. HDS has suggested contract language that would support a larger sample size in these types of audits going forward. This finding can now be considered resolved.